GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03

(~~G.7~~)

File No. 385-02

Serial Nos. 1-2

Papers Filed.

(P) 22/(E)

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Directs that the pay of Gulam Ali Khan be debited to Local Charges & Conditions the appointment of Quarantine & Sanitary Inspector at Lar with immediate during the absence of the former on leave.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
G.7384-02	G.7.386-02

The remarks

of the III Councellor

recorded on President's

letter etc 66 Dy 31/8/01.

What is the pay

of the official on

leave —

I see he gets

Rs 60+20 while on

duty. He is entitled

to half the pay and

allowance, if he

maintained a horse,

The remaining half

will go to the acting

man.

Please see

page 2 for continuation

21/9 21/9 21-9-01 Rend 25/9 Remo Bertoli 25/9

Memo

With reference to the 3rd Councillor's order dated the 21st instant on the arrangement proposed by the Bangalore City Municipal President for filling up the vacancy caused by the Sanitary Inspector having been granted leave on medical certificate, the office begs to submit that under Art 222(b) of the Service Regulations the Sanitary Inspector is entitled to half his pay and not to any allowance, and that under Art. 93 the acting man is entitled to an acting allowance of 1/5 of the pay of the appointment, and his substitute to such allowance, not exceeding the pay of the appointment as the

the officer appointing him considers necessary

The President's recommendation which is in accordance with the Service Regulations, is as follows:-

I Sanitary Inspector 60+20
(leave on half-pay
Amount available for distribution is therefore Rs 30+20

II Arrangements proposed—

(a) Asstt Sanitary Inspector on 45+15 to be Sanitary Inspector on his pay + 1/5 of pay of acting appointment + 20
= 45 + 1/5 of 60 + 20 = 57+20 ✓

(b) D.S. Gnanakan to be Asstt: Sanitary Inspector on 30 + 10,

the balance after the arrangement (a) being only 18+15

3. The additional cost involved is therefore Rs 7/- a month which the President proposes to meet from Rs 50+15 sanctioned for an "Additional Sanitary

Inspector -

Submitted for orders.

4 The question of allowing Sanitary Inspector, Collector and other Municipal Executive officers to draw horse allowance while on privilege leave as in the case of Police, Forest & Excise officers has been referred to the Municipal Board for opinion. Please see references put up - serial nos. 72 + 73 in L.F. 226-96 -

If so directed this may be submitted with a draft requesting the President not to fill up the add. Sanitary Inspectors post as directed by the 3rd Comm. order in his Note of 21st Sep.

25. 9. 01

5 This will be attended to but the question is how the additional cost, ~~Rs.~~ involved in the arrangement proposed by the President

Should be met. The
3rd. Councillor is not
in favor of sanctioning
Extra Expenditure. The
only Course left is, to ask
the President to appoint
the Substitute on Rs 18 + 15
Wheels as available as
Shewn above.

Subd. to Secty for orders.
WB
28/8/07

(6) In reply to the
reference made in para 4
Supra the President
states that the Municipal
Board unanimously
approved of the articles
199 and 547 of the Service
Regulations being made
applicable to the Municipal
Executive officials, who
draw a permanent horse
allowance. If this is sanctioned,
the allowances which officials are
entitled as specified in para 2 supra will not be
affected. Submitted. WB 10/10/07

The A.D.C. clerk ought not
to have delayed this from the
28th. Ultimo & mixed up the
two questions. Let the memo
be subd to Secty at
once WB 11/10/07

Sd/-
This has not been written me so long
This person has no
pending before Govt
after receipt of the 609 on 9 Oct
the file was from 6 months

Submitted to 3rd Councillor

Even if the provisions of the articles above referred to are extended to Sanitary Inspectors, the Sanitary Inspector non on leave will not be entitled to any horse allowance

Orders are solicited on the point noted ~~by me~~ in para 5 supra –

JB

20/11/1901

20/11/2001

9(312) This question was under the consideration of the Department of 3 years ago when it was decided that the Sanitary Inspectors were not to be granted any of the concessions

Approved
in the Govt-
ment-memorandum
memorandum
the Embassy the
Budget-change
Secretary Admin
F.

27/11

A.S.C.
29/11 28/11
Draft put up-mail
29/11 29/11

10 The above orders were communicated to the President in Govt Progs: no 8313-K. dated the 27 226-96 30th November 1901 fixing Ghanakant's pay at 18+15 the amount allowable under the Service regulations. Please see Sl no. 78.

In December 1901 the President requested Govt permission once

Letter no 105-07 6/12/01 (Sl 80)

permission to retain the services of Gnanakan on the municipal establishment till the end of that month on a pay of Rs 30+00. This was sanctioned in Govt Progs: No 1119-20 dated the 4th January 1902. (Sl No 52)
L7.226-96

12 On the 23rd december 1901 the President again addressed the Govt requesting that the full pay and allowance viz Rs 30+00 recommended to Gnanakan in his letter no 139 dated the 19th October 1901 (Sl No 75) may be granted instead of Rs 10+15 sanctioned in Govt Progs: of the 30th November 1901.

Letter no 208 07
23-12-01 (Sl 87).

13 Thereupon it was pointed out that the sanction

Conveyed in the order of November for a pay of Rs 18+15 was for the acting period and that the order sanctioning Rs 30+10 referred only to the remaining period of his (Gunaharan) service till the end of December

Please see order No 12224-5 dt 24.1.02 at 20 84 226-96

The President now submits the Health officer's letter in original wherein it is stated that the order of November was understood to have been superseded by the subsequent order No 1119-20 of the 226-96 4th January and that Gunaharan was therefore paid at Rs 30+10 for the whole period of his service It is further added that it will not be right to ask

at 20 78

at 20 82

Submitted.
This is an unauthorized payment which should be refunded at once

BB
4/4.

Gnanakan to accept any
less amount and refund
the remainder, and that
had he been informed on
his appointment that
his pay would be only 25
instead of 30/- he would
not have taken up the
appointment

15 In these circumstances
the President solicits a
reconsideration of the
order No. 12224-5 / 226-96 dated
the 24th January 1902 - Sl
No 84.

Sl No 84

It is submitted that
~~transfer~~ if the
Government are disposed
to allow Gnanakan Rs
30 + 10 as recommended
an allowance of Rs 7 may
be sanctioned as a special

12

case for the acting period in order to make up the deficiency and the excess cost debited to the ~~[illegible]~~ which exists for the 3d Sanitary Inspector

Submitted for orders.

Dy J 27/3/02.
29/3.

15 Submitted for orders.

The orders on the subject (Serial Nos 78 & 84) are clear that the pay of the acting Sanitary Inspectors should be regulated according to the Mysore Service Regulations. Neither the President nor the Health Officer could act contrary to such orders ~~If the~~ ~~the~~ The action of the Health Officer in paying more than what was due to the acting incumbent ~~does~~ is irregular The

account may be ordered
to be refunded at once.

16. It is not understood
how this payment was
made by the Health Officer
whether with the cognizance
of the Head Office (President)
or without it. If the
former, it seems desirable
to ascertain what sort
of check is exercised
by the Head Office on
the accounts & Bills
prepared by the Health
Officer, and what is
the explanation of the
President for ~~paying~~ passing
more than what was
due to the acting
Sanitary Inspector in this case.

JB
4/4/1902

Subd to the 3d Qs

17. The enquiry suggested by the Asst Secty may be made, but the amount actually paid may, in my humble opinion, be sanctioned as a special case from savings — HD 11/7/02.

Make this Enquiry HD 17/7/02

11/7/02. (756).

18. The payments of Rs 30 + 10 drawn by Asst S. Durrani to Lottery Peons are contrary to Govt orders on the subject and it is suggested that the President will not in future make such payments or allow such irregularities to occur. The amount paid may be sanctioned as a special case

8/12

Issued HD 17/7/02

157 A.S.C. 15/7/16 Dft Mod 16

19 Orders were issued in Govt Pro: no [+]972-3 L.T.226.96 dated the 18th July 1902 sanctioning payment of Rs 30+10 to Gnanakan for the acting period and enquiring whether any check is being exercised at all by the President's office on the accounts and bills prepared by the Health Officers and how more money than what was actually due was paid to Gnanakan

[+] serial no 96

20. In reply, the President states that the accounts and bills received from the Health Office are checked in the Head Office by the Vice-President before payments are made As

Letter no 938 29.9.02 (serial no 97)

16

for excess payment made to Gnanakan, he says that the acting arrangement was made in anticipation of sanction, and that Gnanakan's services were retained till the end of December '01 also in anticipation of sanction and that as the order of the 4th January 1902 sanctioning the retention of Gnanakan till the end of December, did not say that he should be paid at 18+15 as fixed in Govt Pro: No 8313-14 / 7226-96 of 30th November 1901, payment was made to him after the 4th January 1902 at Rs 30+10 (the rate recommended by the President)

+ Serial no 82 in section T

This was quite unnecessary as one order has no connection with the other.

+ Serial no 78 do

File

Submitted for orders as to whether the whole correspondence may be recorded. The explanation is not satisfactory. This may be recorded before filing.

Orig 16/10/02

JB 17/10.

The President now reports in this
that
20. G.T.179 dated the 29/30 th Jan 03
Flag A
Gnanakan, who was for some time temporary
employed as 2nd Sanitary Inspector was
appointed to act for the Assistant Sani-
tary Inspector Gulam Ali Khan during the
latter's absence on deputation to accompany
H.H. the Maharaja's Camp at Delhi from
the 13th Dec 1902 to 21st January 1903, that
the acting arrangement was necessary for
the efficient supervision of the work of the
Conservancy staff, and requests sanction
thereto as also to the pay of Gulam Ali
Khan for the period he was on deputation
work being ~~not~~ met out of Provincial
funds under Article 66 of the Supreme
Service Regulations. Gulam Ali Kan's pay
is Rs 45+15 a month

Submitted for orders. Art. Sect: 67
requires sanction of Govt for creating any
temporary appointment or for sending any
officer on deputation. ~~Docket to President is~~
~~submitted asking for additional information~~ But
this office has no information on this point. In

on a similar case when the pay and travelling allowance of the Vice President of the Mysore City Municipality, Mr. Ranganada Raj Urs was proposed to be debited to Provincial funds, for the period he was employed on special duty outside the Mysore City; the Dewan was pleased to observe "why was not the Dewan asked when the circumstances were within his personal cognizance", & "all this discussion in a simple matter was quite unnecessary" and to direct that the charge be paid from the state funds. (Vide office note in L.T 125/97.")

Flag B

Dewan on deputation to Jeypore 1903 Deputation allowance

10.III.03

RJ 10/2/03

16/2/03

Let Draft sanctioning the arrangement submitted for approval-

24/2

Rect & drafter 26/

The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor -

Please obtain the Dewan's orders and do the needful. I was not aware of Salam alle Khan's deputation & Dilkhush Jan's being at a disadvantage

8/3

8850 27.3.03

573/03.

L.B. 517 1-3-03 Ramaraya Rao

AW 9/3/03



From The President
Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore

To The Secretary with the
Govt of Mysore in the General
and Revenue Department -

Dated 30th

Despatched January 1903

Received

General No. 179

No.

Enclosure No. 41242

Soliciting orders to have
the pay of Gulam Sikkan
Assistant Sanitary Inspector
for the days he was on the
Delhi camp met from the
Provincial funds

2 2 '03

d. 5. ch
Dy 3/2/03

Please put up file re B. City Sanitary
Inspectors & Mir establishments Ref
9/2

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, January 29, 1903

No. G.I.179

From

THE PRESIDENT

MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE CITY.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore in the
General & Revenue Depts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to State for the orders of Government that Certificated Sanitary Inspector Gnanakan is sometime back temporarily employed as Assistant Sanitary Inspector & appointed to act for Assistant Sanitary Inspector Gulcanali Khan during absence of the latter on deputation

company His Highness the Maharaja
up to Delhi from 13th December last
to 21st January 1903. As the acting
arrangement was necessary for the
efficient supervision of the work of the
Canal Staff I beg that Sanction
may be kindly accorded thereto and
orders passed for the pay of Gulam Ali
the period he was on deputation
Work being met out of Provincial funds
under article 66 of the Civil Service Regula-

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H.H. Parke
President

No 86

Read.

Letter No. G. T. 179 B
dtd 29th January 1903
from 30th the President of
the Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City, reporting
the entertainment in
anticipation of sanction
of Gnanakan, who had
for some time been employed
as 2nd Sanitary Inspector
of the Municipality, as
Assistant Sanitary Inspector
to act for Gulam Ali Khan
during the latter's absence on
deputation to accompany H.
H. the Maharaja's Camp
at Delhi from the 13th
December 1902 to 21st Jany
1903 and requesting sanction
to the appointment as also
to the pay of Gulam Ali
Khan for the period he was
on deputation being met
out of Provincial funds and

Ext

Art. 66 of the Imperial Service Regulations

Orders thereon

No H 1599-600 dt 24/3/03

The President's action in State the payment from Provincial funds of 24 is approved and Gulam Ali Khan's salary for the period he was on special duty at Delhi is sanctioned. The charge will be debited to "23. Mis - 4 Tour Charges."

21/3/03

Secy

Orig 26/2/03

H 18/3/03

To

The Pay & Acct Genl, Bangalore City & Comptroller.

H 21/3/03